Alcohol control policies (AMPs) in Indigenous communities in Queensland: Is a focus on supply control sustainable?



Alan Clough

(PhD, Associate Professor)

Community-based Health Promotion
and Prevention Studies Group

AUSTRALIAN INSTITUTE OF TROPICAL HEALTH AND MEDICINE, Cairns

Phone: 4232 1604 Alan. Clough@jcu.edu.au

National Health and Medical Research Council

Project grant#1042532: 2013-2016

A complex regulatory history and policy environment across four phases



	Phases	th		: from s to the York		First alcohol restrictions w possession and car													A period of review									С	ontr			n alcohol availability further ened during this time							A second period of review										
	ritaces			Study,		Phase 1: 2002						2 to 2004					Phase 2: 2005 to 2007											P	hase	hase 3: 2008 to 2010							Phase 4: 2011 to 2013												
	Community																																																
	All alcohol prohibited	Mar-01	Jun-01	Sep-01 Dec-01	Mar-02	2 6	Sep-02	3 5	Jun-03	Sep-03	Dec-03	Mar-04	Jun-04	Sep-04	Dec-04	Mar-05	Jun-05	co-dac	Dec-05	Mar-00	Jun-06	on-dec	3 8	Mar-07	5	Dec-07	Mar-08	lun Os	Sep-08	Dec-08	Mar-09	Jun-09	Sep-09	Dec-09	Mar-10	Jun-10	ol-dec	Dec-10	Mar-11	Jun-11	Sep-11	Dec-11	Mar-12	Sep. 12	71-	Dec-12	5 5	Jun-13	Sep-13
	Some alcohol permitted	Ma	를	Sep	Mai		de C	5 2	Jun J	Sec	Ğ	Mai	틧	Sep	Ğ	Mai	F 6	e c	å :	2	Ę,	de la	Š :	Z Z	5	Dec	Mai	1	Ser	De la	∑ Za	ş	Ser	Ğ	Mai	Ę ,	e c	ě :	Z Z	E .	Sep	Ğ:	W .	5 0	de la	Dec 2	NG 1	m 2	Se
	Aurukun				MCM	C	Z	ero	carria	ige f	rom :	30 De	ec 0	2														_		Ta	avern	ceas	ses	27 No	30 vc	3													
Comm	Doomadgee					Carriage limit from									03																Ca	rriag	e li	mit an	nend	ed 2	Jan	09											_
	Napranum					Ze	ero c	arria	rriage from 9 Jun 03												averi	n cea	ses 1	1 Ju	80 lu																								
	Lockhart River								Zero carriage from 3 Oct 03												C	antee	n ce	ase	s 1 N	30 vc	3																						
Alcohol Management Plan (AMP) commun	Woorabindah	Г									Ca	rriage	e lim	nit fro	m 3	Oct	03												Z	ero c	carria	rriage from 1 Jul 08																	
	Wujal Wujal	Г									Zei	o car	rriag	ge fro	m 3	Oct	03																																
	Mornington Island	П									Ze	o ca	rriag	ge fro	m 2	8 No	v 03										C	ante	een c	lose	d 24 .	24 Jan 08																	
	Kowanyama	Г			MCM	С					Ze	o car	rriag	ge fro	m 5	Dec	03													Ta	avem	ceas	ses	27 No	ov 08	3													
	Pormpuraaw				MCM	C					Ca	rriage	e lim	nit fro	m 5	Dec	03													C	antee	n ch	ang	es 1 [Dec (08													_
	Yarrabah											Can	riag	e lim	it fro	m 6	Feb 0	04									Lie	cen	ice si	ırren	ndered	11F	eb	08	Carı	iage	limit	t am	ende	ed 2	2 Jan	09							
	Northern Peninsular Area †				MCM	C						•	Car	rriage	limi	it 14	Apr 0)4				C	arri	age l	imit	ame	nd 2	1 D	ec 06	6 AI	lau Ta	vern	псе	ases	31 D	ec 08	3												
	Mapoon								Carriage limit 14 Apr 04 Carriage limit amended 2											Jan	09) 9																											
	Hopevale				MCM	C							Car	rriage	limi	it 14	Apr 0)4													Car	rriag	e li	mit an	nend	ed 2	Jan	09											
	Cherbourg														17 C	Dec (04 - n	o lin	nits o	lect	ared	- sor	ne i	dry p	lace	s dec	lare	d			Car	rriag	e li	mit fro	m 1	2 Mar	09												
	Palm Island																				Carri	age I	imit	19 J	lun (06				Ta	avern	clos	ed	31 De	c 08	1	ave	rn re	-оре	ene	d Se	p 10							
	1				"Mi	inim	isino	ı har	m" st	rate	nies i	n cat	chm	nent I	icen	sed	premi	ises		_		_				- Tall 6	1	_	Table SI	(2)		2		2. 104	-		574	_	-				-		20	-		-	_
											ailable from 2002												"Winimising harm" s							ateg	ies in	pler	mer	ited in	cato	chme	nt lic	cens	sed premises from 2005-06						_		_		
strategies			Cape York Justice Study (Se		Meeting Challenges, Making (Mar 2002) and responses (Ar	3										suges	evaluation (Sep 200 Partnerships Organish	2000						Whole of Government Revi	alcohol and other substance:	Programs and services. Alcohol Reform Project (F					Dec 09) Safe Communities					Community Safety Plans			Onconclored Concernment in	Queensland Government in review of AMPs (Oct 201									

Prohibition, restricted access, 'minimizing harm' conditions





Phase 1: 2002-2004: 'MCMC'

 'restricted areas' with alcohol 'carriage limits', illegal to possess prescribed amounts and types of liquor in targeted communities (\$168B - Liquor Act).

Phase 2: 2005-2007: 'minimising harm'

- evaluations and reviews by the Queensland Government brought recommendations for more stringent controls.
- 'minimising harm' provisions for 'catchment' licensed premises in regional centres.

Phase 3: 2008-2010: 'dry as possible'

- 7 'canteens' closed, 2 modified trading conditions
- Police powers to search and seize increased
- 'attempting' to take liquor into a 'restricted area' also became an offence (\$168C – Liquor Act) alongside possession.

Phase 4: 2011- ~ 'exit strategies'

another period of review.

Points to note and questions raised



- AMPs ever-more-intense and complex restrictions on alcohol availability.
- There have been some favourable changes, but not in all communities.
- Still lacking support for demand reduction, rehabilitation, treatment and diversion as originally committed.
- Confusion, resentment, non-compliance and increased criminalisation appear still to be widespread.
- How much further can supply control and enforcement go?
- Current review of AMPs, announced in 2012, is very timely.
 - opportunity for steady and thoughtful policy revision,
 - based on comprehensive consultation and engagement with the affected populations and stakeholders
 - To retain any successful elements but also be wary of unforeseen consequences.

Acknowledgements



- NHMRC, Project Grant APP1042532 collaborating Investigators: Professor Steven Margolis, Associate Professor Reinhold Muller, Professor Adrian Miller, Associate Professor Anthony Shakeshaft, Professor Chris Doran, Laureate Professor Rob Sanson-Fisher, Professor Robyn McDermott, Dr Valmae Ypinazar and Mr Simon Towle. Thanks to Ms Bronwyn Honorato, Ms Michelle Fitts, Ms Jan Robertson, Dr Caryn West, Dr Valmae Ypinazar, Dr David Martin, Associate Professor Reinhold Muller and Professor Steven Margolis.
- Support is gratefully acknowledged from the Australian Primary Health Care Research Institute-funded Centre for Research Excellence for the Prevention of Chronic Conditions in Rural and Remote High Risk Populations at James Cook University and the University of Adelaide (Professor Robyn McDermott, Chief Investigator).

Alcohol control policies (AMPs) in Indigenous communities in Queensland:
Is a focus on supply control sustainable?

2 A complex regulatory history and policy environment across four phases

3 Prohibition, restricted access, 'minimizing harm' conditions

Phase 1: 2002-2004: 'MCMC'

• 'restricted areas' with alcohol 'carriage limits', illegal to possess prescribed amounts and types of liquor in targeted communities (S168B – *Liquor Act*).

Phase 2: 2005-2007: 'minimising harm'

- evaluations and reviews by the Queensland Government brought recommendations for more stringent controls.
- 'minimising harm' provisions for 'catchment' licensed premises in regional centres.

Phase 3: 2008-2010: 'dry as possible'

- 7 'canteens' closed, 2 modified trading conditions
- Police powers to search and seize increased
- 'attempting' to take liquor into a 'restricted area' also became an offence (S168C Liquor Act) alongside possession.

Phase 4: 2011- ~ 'exit strategies'

· another period of review.

4 Points to note and questions raised

- AMPs ever-more-intense and complex restrictions on alcohol availability.
- There have been some favourable changes, but not in all communities.
- Still lacking support for demand reduction, rehabilitation, treatment and diversion as originally committed.
- Confusion, resentment, non-compliance and increased criminalisation appear still to be widespread.
- How much further can supply control and enforcement go?
- Current review of AMPs, announced in 2012, is very timely.
 - · opportunity for steady and thoughtful policy revision,
 - based on comprehensive consultation and engagement with the affected populations and stakeholders
 - To retain any successful elements but also be wary of unforeseen consequences.

5 Acknowledgements

- NHMRC, Project Grant APP1042532 collaborating Investigators: Professor Steven Margolis, Associate Professor Reinhold Muller, Professor Adrian Miller, Associate Professor Anthony Shakeshaft, Professor Chris Doran, Laureate Professor Rob Sanson-Fisher, Professor Robyn McDermott, Dr Valmae Ypinazar and Mr Simon Towle. Thanks to Ms Bronwyn Honorato, Ms Michelle Fitts, Ms Jan Robertson, Dr Caryn West, Dr Valmae Ypinazar, Dr David Martin, Associate Professor Reinhold Muller and Professor Steven Margolis.
- Support is gratefully acknowledged from the Australian Primary Health Care Research Institute-funded Centre for Research Excellence for the Prevention of Chronic Conditions in Rural and Remote High Risk Populations at James Cook University and the University of Adelaide (Professor Robyn McDermott, Chief Investigator).